



Sh.Sahib Parwanda,  
H NO-5852/20, Gali No-11,  
NawanKot, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Director,  
Health & Family Welfare Department,  
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director,  
Health & Family Welfare Department,  
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2375 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Dr.Anu Chopra for the   Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 01.10.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the first-time license for de-addiction centres issued and renewed – name and appointment letters of various persona under whom these centres are running along with the degrees of doctors and registration with MCI/PMC – letter/enquiry/prosecution against the centres -name of minister/his OSD at the time of license issued and renewed -the name of the drug inspector and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Health and Family Welfare Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was asked to vide letter dated 26.10.2020 deposit a fee of Rs.480/- which the appellant deposited on 06.11.2020 but the information was not provided, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that since the information sought by the appellant is voluminous, the appellant was asked to appear personally on 11.01.2021 to inspect the record and get the relevant information but the appellant did not appear. Further since some enquiry was pending, the information was not sent to the appellant.

I am not in agreement with the PIO's reply since the PIO has already raised the money for providing the information and the asked amount has been deposited by the appellant.

The PIO is directed to provide the information to the appellant for which the money has been raised (Rs.480 for 240 pages) and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.02.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar. PIO to appear through VC at DAC Mohali.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh.Tarlok Singh, S/o ShMahinder Singh,  
R/o Ibban Kalan, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/oSSP,(Rural)  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SSP,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2361of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Tarlok Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Davinder Pal Singh, ASI for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 16.01.2021 has sought information regarding particulars of information given by Tarlok Singh to I/C PP Bohru PS Chatiwind Amritsar written in GD No.011 dated 29.12.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Rural, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 05.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant wants to go through the information and shall file a reply in case of any discrepancy.

The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.02.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh.Gagandeep Kumar S/o Sh. Joginder Pal,  
VPO Kathu Nangal, Tehsil Majitha,  
Distt. Amritsar.

... Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Kathu Nangal, Distt. Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SSP,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2262of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Gagandeep Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Davinder Pal Singh, ASI for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 15.10.2019 has sought information regarding the number of complaints the appellant has filed in the office from 01.09.2010 to 30.09.2019 - the action taken report on the said complaints – date of posting of ASI Davinder Singh in police station Kathu Nangal along with the number of cases that got filed – salary of Davinder Singh and details of properties – residential district, rank – number of accused acquitted and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SHO Police Station Kathu Nangal-Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 20.01.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

As per the respondent and a letter received from the PIO through email, the information on points 1 & 2 cannot be provided as per decision No.236-IC(a)2006 of Central Information Commission since it has to be created. Further, regarding the information related to point 3 to 9, the information was exempt under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. being personal information, the reply of which has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 20.02.2021.

The appellant is not satisfied with the reply.

I have gone through the record and observed that the first appellate authority on 08.06.2020 has ordered the PIO to provide the information, which was not provided. The PIO is directed to provide the information on the following points:

- |                |   |  |
|----------------|---|--|
| - Point-1      | - | Provide the total number of complaints received                          |
| - Point-2      | - | Whatever action has been taken on the complaints to be provided          |
| - Point-3      | - | To provide whatever information is available on record                   |
| - Point-4      | - | Provide the salary details. Personal property details not to be provided |
| - Point -5     | - | Not to be provided   |
| - Point-6      | - | To provide   |
| - Point-7,8 &9 | - | Has not asked for any specific information. Not to be created.           |

**Appeal Case No. 2262of 2021**

The information be provided as soon as possible and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.02.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Brighh Bhan S/o Sh.Saroop Chand,  
R/o H No-33, Kathangarh Road,  
Patran, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/oSGPC,  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/oSGPC,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2583of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 18.02.2021 has sought information regarding year-wise details of cloth purchased from the year 2015 to Dec.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SGPC Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 12.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar/Patiala.

The appellant is absent and vide email has sought adjournment. In the said email, the appellant has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent and vide email has sought some more time to provide the information on the plea that the sought information is voluminous.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.02.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar. The appellant to appear through VC at DAC Patiala.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**